



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/325,099	06/03/1999	ALEXANDER SHVARTS	4498	2396

7590 07/27/2005

MATTHEW E CONNORS  
SAMUELS GAUTHIER & STEVENS LLP  
225 FRANKLIN STREET  
SUITE 3300  
BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER

FAN, CHIEH M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2638

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/325,099

Applicant(s)

SHVARTS ET AL.

Examiner

Chieh M. Fan

Art Unit

2638

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-12,14 and 16-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-12,14 and 16-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on 2/14/05.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 5, 7-10, 14, 16-19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herzinger (EP 0,905,879) in view of Damgaard et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,208,875, "Damgaard" hereinafter).

Regarding claim 1, Herzinger discloses a translation loop modulator (see Fig. 2 and the English abstract) for transmission circuit in a communication system, said translation loop modulator comprising:

input modulation means ("QM" and "BP" in Fig. 2) for receiving at least one input signal ("f<sub>i</sub>" and "f<sub>Q</sub>" in Fig. 2) that is representative of information to be modulated, for receiving a feedback signal ("f<sub>MO</sub>" in Fig. 2), and for producing an intermediate modulated signal (output from "BP" in Fig. 2) responsive to said input signal and said feedback signal;

Art Unit: 2638

comparator means ("FT1", "FT2", "PFD", "CP", "LF" and "HF-VCO" in Fig. 2) for receiving said intermediate modulated signal (output from "BP" in Fig. 2) and a reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2) having a frequency of F<sub>LO</sub>, and for producing an output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2) having a frequency of F<sub>OUT</sub> responsive to said intermediate modulated signal and said reference signal, wherein said comparator means includes a first frequency divider unit ("FT1" in Fig. 2) for providing a to divide by m function and a second frequency divider unit ("FT2" in Fig. 2) for providing a divide by n function such that  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 - m/n)$  (see the mathematical expression in col. 4, line 49, also see right column on page 3 of the English translation of DE 19743207 provided by the applicant), and feedback circuitry ("M1" and "TP" in Fig. 2) coupled to said output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2), coupled to said reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2) and coupled to said input modulation means ("QM" and "BP" in Fig. 2), said feedback circuitry for producing said feedback signal ("f<sub>MO</sub>" in Fig. 2) responsive to said output transmission signal and said reference signal.

Herzinger does not teach that the translation loop modulator may be operated in a second mode such that  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m/n)$ . That is, Herzinger does not teach the feature of dual band operation.

However, Damgaard teaches that there is a need for mobile phones to operate with dual band transmissions to increase system capacity, so that the system could select between two transmission frequency bands depending upon which bandwidth is less saturated and could provide a better signal quality (col. 1, lines 30-35). Damgaard also teaches the dual band operation may be

Art Unit: 2638

achieved by selecting the output of a mixer (with inputs  $RF_{OUT}$  and  $RF_{LO}$ ) to be either  $RF_{OUT} - RF_{LO}$  or  $RF_{LO} - RF_{OUT}$  (col. 5, lines 53-62). When the mobile phone is operated in GSM mode,  $RF_{LO} - RF_{OUT}$  is selected. When the mobile phone is operated in DCS mode,  $RF_{OUT} - RF_{LO}$  is selected. (See col. 6, line 49 through col. 7, line 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the output of the mixer ("M1" in Fig. 2) of Herzinger to be either  $RF_{VCO} - RF_{LO}$  or  $RF_{LO} - RF_{VCO}$  such that the modulator of Herzinger may be operated in dual band (DCS mode and GSM mode) for the advantage of increasing system capacity. Note that the relationship  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m/n)$  is an inherent property when the DCS mode (i.e.,  $RF_{VCO} - RF_{LO}$ ) is selected. Such relationship may be derived by simple mathematical manipulations.

Regarding claim 5, Herzinger also teaches an input port of said second frequency divider unit ("FT2" in Fig. 2) is coupled to said reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" output from "LO" in Fig. 2), and an output port of said second frequency divider unit is coupled to a phase comparator device ("PFD" in Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 7, Herzinger also teaches an input port of said first frequency divider unit ("FT1" in Fig. 2) is coupled to said intermediate modulated signal (the output from "BP" in Fig. 2), and said output port of said first frequency divider unit is coupled to a phase comparator device ("PFD" in Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 8, Herzinger also teaches said feedback circuitry ("M1" and TP" in Fig. 2) includes a mixer device ("M1" in Fig. 2) including a first input

Art Unit: 2638

port coupled to said output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2), a second input port coupled to said reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2), and an output port coupled to said feedback signal ("f<sub>MO</sub>" in Fig. 2).

Regarding claim **9**, Herzinger also teaches said reference signal is directly connected to said mixer device (as seen in Fig. 2, the reference signal "f<sub>LO</sub>" is directly connected to the mixer device "M1").

Regarding claim **10**, Herzinger teaches a translation loop modulator (see Fig. 2 and the English abstract) for a transmission circuit in a communication system, said translation loop modulator comprising:

quadrature modulation means ("QM" and "BP" in Fig. 2) for receiving at least one input signal ("f<sub>I</sub>" and "f<sub>Q</sub>" in Fig. 2) that is representative of information to be modulated, for receiving a feedback signal ("f<sub>MO</sub>" in Fig. 2), and for producing an quadrature modulated signal (output from "BP" in Fig. 2) responsive to said input signal and said feedback signal;

phase comparator means ("FT1", "FT2", "PFD", "CP", and "LF" in Fig. 2) for receiving said quadrature modulated signal (output from "BP" in Fig. 2) and a reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2) having a frequency F<sub>LO</sub>, and for producing a phase comparator signal (output from "LF" in Fig. 2) responsive to said quadrature modulated signal and said reference signal, said phase comparator means including a first frequency divider unit ("FT1" in Fig. 2) for providing a divide by m function and a second frequency divider unit ("FT2" in Fig. 2) for providing a divide by n function;

Art Unit: 2638

oscillator means ("HF-VCO" in Fig. 2) for receiving said phase comparator signal (output from "LF" in Fig. 2), and for producing an output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2) responsive to said phase comparator signal, said output transmission signal having a frequency  $F_{OUT}$  wherein  $F_{OUT} = F_{LO} (1 - m/n)$  (see the mathematical expression in col. 4, line 49, also see right column on page 3 of the English translation of DE 19743207 provided by the applicant); and

feedback circuitry ("M1" and "TP" in Fig. 2) coupled to said output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2), coupled to said reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2) and coupled to said quadrature modulation means ("QM" and "BP" in Fig. 2), said feedback circuitry for producing said feedback signal ("f<sub>MO</sub>" in Fig. 2) responsive to said output transmission signal and said reference signal.

Herzinger does not teach that the translation loop modulator may be operated in a second mode such that  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m/n)$ . That is, Herzinger does not teach the feature of dual band operation.

However, Damgaard teaches that there is a need for mobile phones to operate with dual band transmissions to increase system capacity, so that the system could select between two transmission frequency bands depending upon which bandwidth is less saturated and could provide a better signal quality (col. 1, lines 30-35). Damgaard also teaches the dual operation may be achieved by selecting the output of a mixer (with inputs  $RF_{OUT}$  and  $RF_{LO}$ ) to be either  $RF_{OUT} - RF_{LO}$  or  $RF_{LO} - RF_{OUT}$  (col. 5, lines 53-62). When the mobile phone is operated in GSM mode,  $RF_{LO} - RF_{OUT}$  is selected. When the mobile phone is operated in DCS mode,  $RF_{OUT} - RF_{LO}$  is selected. (See col. 6, line 49 through col. 7, line 8)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the output of the mixer ("M1" in Fig. 2) of Herzinger to be either  $RF_{VCO} - RF_{LO}$  or  $RF_{LO} - RF_{VCO}$  such that the modulator of Herzinger may be operated in dual band (DCS mode and GSM mode) for the advantage of increasing system capacity. Note that the relationship  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m/n)$  is an inherent property when the DCS mode (i.e.,  $RF_{VCO} - RF_{LO}$ ) is selected. Such relationship may be derived by simple mathematical manipulations.

Regarding claim 14, Herzinger also teaches an input port of said second frequency divider unit ("FT2" in Fig. 2) is coupled to said reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" output from "LO" in Fig. 2), and an output port of said second frequency divider unit is coupled to a phase comparator device ("PFD" in Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 16, Herzinger also teaches an input port of said first frequency divider unit ("FT1" in Fig. 2) is coupled to said intermediate modulated signal (the output from "BP" in Fig. 2), and an output port of said first frequency divider unit is coupled to a phase comparator device ("PFD" in Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 17, Herzinger also teaches said feedback circuitry ("M1" and TP" in Fig. 2) includes a mixer device ("M1" in Fig. 2) including a first input port coupled to said output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2), a second input port coupled to said reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2), and an output port coupled to said feedback signal ("f<sub>MO</sub>" in Fig. 2).



Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claim **18**, Herzinger also teaches said reference signal is directly connected to said mixer device (as seen in Fig. 2, the reference signal " $f_{LO}$ " is directly connected to the mixer device "M1").

Regarding claim **19**, Herzinger teaches a translation loop modulator (see Fig. 2 and the English abstract) for a transmission circuit in a communication system, said translation loop modulator comprising:

quadrature modulation means ("QM" and "BP" in Fig. 2) for receiving at least one input signal (" $f_I$ " and " $f_Q$ " in Fig. 2) that is representative of information to be modulated, for receiving a feedback signal (" $f_{MO}$ " in Fig. 2), and for producing an quadrature modulated signal (output from "BP" in Fig. 2) responsive to said input signal and said feedback signal;

first frequency divider means ("FT1" in Fig. 2) for receiving said quadrature modulated signal (output from "BP" in Fig. 2), and for producing a first frequency divided signal (output from "FT1" in Fig. 2) responsive to said quadrature modulated signal such that said first frequency divider means provides a divide by m function;

second frequency divider means ("FT2" in Fig. 2) for receiving a reference signal (" $f_{LO}$ " in Fig. 2), and for producing a second frequency divided signal (" $f_{PD}$ " in Fig. 2) responsive to said reference signal such that said first frequency divider means provides a divide by n function;

phase comparator means ("PFD", "CP", and "LF" in Fig. 2) for receiving said first frequency divided signal and said second frequency divided signal, and

Art Unit: 2638

for producing a phase comparator signal (output from "LF" in Fig. 2) responsive to said first and second frequency divided signals;

oscillator means ("HF-VCO" in Fig. 2) for receiving said phase comparator signal (output from "LF" in Fig. 2), and for producing an output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2) having a frequency  $F_{OUT}$  responsive to said phase comparator signal such that  $F_{OUT} = F_{LO} (1 - m/n)$  (see the mathematical expression in col. 4, line 49; also see right column on page 3 of the English translation of DE 19743207 provided by the applicant); and

feedback circuitry ("M1" and "TP" in Fig. 2) coupled to said output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2), coupled to said reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2) and coupled to said quadrature modulation means ("QM" and "BP" in Fig. 2), said feedback circuitry for producing said feedback signal ("f<sub>MO</sub>" in Fig. 2) responsive to said output transmission signal and said reference signal.

Herzinger does not teach that the translation loop modulator may be operated in a second mode such that  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m/n)$ . That is, Herzinger does not teach the feature of dual band operation.

However, Damgaard teaches that there is a need for mobile phones to operate with dual band transmissions to increase system capacity, so that the system could select between two transmission frequency bands depending upon which bandwidth is less saturated and could provide a better signal quality (col. 1, lines 30-35). Damgaard also teaches the dual operation may be achieved by selecting the output of a mixer (with inputs  $RF_{OUT}$  and  $RF_{LO}$ ) to be either  $RF_{OUT} - RF_{LO}$  or  $RF_{LO} - RF_{OUT}$  (col. 5, lines 53-62). When the mobile phone is operated in

Art Unit: 2638

GSM mode,  $RF_{LO} - RF_{OUT}$  is selected. When the mobile phone is operated in DCS mode,  $RF_{OUT} - RF_{LO}$  is selected. (See col. 6, line 49 through col. 7, line 8)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the output of the mixer ("M1" in Fig. 2) of Herzinger to be either  $RF_{VCO} - RF_{LO}$  or  $RF_{LO} - RF_{VCO}$  such that the modulator of Herzinger may be operated in dual band (DCS mode and GSM mode) for the advantage of increasing system capacity. Note that the relationship  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m/n)$  is an inherent property when the DCS mode (i.e.,  $RF_{VCO} - RF_{LO}$ ) is selected. Such relationship may be derived by simple mathematical manipulations.

Regarding claim **21**, as explained above in the rationale applied to claim 19, Herzinger in view of Damgaard may be operated in DCS mode (about 1800 MHz).

Regarding claim **22**, as explained above in the rationale applied to claim 19, Herzinger in view of Damgaard may be operated in GSM mode (about 900 MHz).

3. Claims 2, 3, 11, 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herzinger (EP 0,905,879) in view of Damgaard et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,208,875, "Damgaard" hereinafter) as applied to claims 1, 5, 7-10, 14, 16-19, 21 and 22 above, and further in view of Jaffe (US Patent 5,130,670).

Regarding claim **2**, Herzinger in view of Damgaard teaches the claimed invention (see the rationale applied to claim 1 above) including an oscillating

Art Unit: 2638

means ("LO" in Fig. 2 of Herzinger) for generating the reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2 of Herzinger), but fails to teach that the oscillating means is a reference loop modulator, i.e., a feedback loop configuration.

Jaffe teaches that an oscillating means (16' in Fig. 4) is implemented with a phase locked loop (52', 54', 56', 58', 66, 64', 60' and 62' in Fig. 7). The phase locked loop comprises a stability enhancement circuit (66 in Fig. 7) so as to generate a stable output oscillating signal.

It is desirable to generate a stable reference signal in the translation loop modulator of Herzinger so as to generate a stable output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2 of Herzinger). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the oscillating means of Herzinger with a phase locked loop, as taught by Jaffe, so as to generate a stable reference signal and consequently to generate a stable output transmission signal.

Regarding claim 3, Jaffe teaches the claimed limitation "said reference loop modulator includes a fractional n synthesizer" because Jaffe teaches that the oscillating means 16' is a fractional n synthesizer (col. 16, lines 62-63).

Regarding claim 11, Herzinger in view of Damgaard teaches the claimed invention (see the rationale applied to claim 10 above) including an oscillating means ("LO" in Fig. 2 of Herzinger) for generating the reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2 of Herzinger), but fails to teach that the oscillating means is a reference loop modulator, i.e., a feedback loop configuration.

Jaffe teaches that an oscillating means (16' in Fig. 4) is implemented with a phase locked loop (52', 54', 56', 58', 66, 64', 60' and 62' in Fig. 7). The phase locked loop comprises a stability enhancement circuit (66 in Fig. 7) so as to generate a stable output oscillating signal.

It is desirable to generate a stable reference signal in the translation loop modulator of Herzinger so as to generate a stable output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2 of Herzinger). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the oscillating means of Herzinger with a phase locked loop, as taught by Jaffe, so as to generate a stable reference signal and consequently to generate a stable output transmission signal.

Regarding claim **12**, Jaffe teaches the claimed limitation "said reference loop modulator includes a fractional n synthesizer" because Jaffe teaches that the oscillating means 16' is a fractional n synthesizer (col. 16, lines 62-63).

Regarding claim **20**, Herzinger in view of Damgaard teaches the claimed invention (see the rationale applied to claim 19 above) including an oscillating means ("LO" in Fig. 2 of Herzinger) for generating the reference signal ("f<sub>LO</sub>" in Fig. 2 of Herzinger), but fails to teach that the oscillating means is a reference loop modulator, i.e., a feedback loop configuration.

Jaffe teaches that an oscillating means (16' in Fig. 4) is implemented with a phase locked loop (52', 54', 56', 58', 66, 64', 60' and 62' in Fig. 7). The phase locked loop comprises a stability enhancement circuit (66 in Fig. 7) so as to generate a stable output oscillating signal.

It is desirable to generate a stable reference signal in the translation loop modulator of Herzinger so as to generate a stable output transmission signal ("A" in Fig. 2 of Herzinger). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the oscillating means of Herzinger with a phase locked loop, as taught by Jaffe, so as to generate a stable reference signal and consequently to generate a stable output transmission signal.

### ***Drawings***

4. The drawings were received on 2/14/05. These drawings are not acceptable. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because any replace drawing sheet must be identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet". Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsman outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 2/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Beginning on page 7 of the response, the applicant basically argues that he is not simply claiming dual band operation where the output is either  $A + B$  or  $A - B$  in a generic sense. Applicant has discovered a specific frequency plan that relies on the first frequency divider that provides a divide by  $m$  function and the second frequency divider that relies on the divide by  $n$  function to achieve an output that may be expressed as  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 \pm m/n)$ . The Damgaard reference, therefore, does not disclose, teach or suggest the use of a divide by  $m$  frequency divider and a divide by  $n$  frequency divider to achieve the dual band operation.

Examiner's Response --- In claim 1 of the instant application, the applicant writes,

"a first frequency divider unit for providing a divide by  $m$  function and a second frequency divider unit for providing a divide by  $n$  function such that  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m/n)$  in said first mode of operation and  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 - m/n)$  in said second mode of operation."

That is, the claim only requires that the provision of the first and second dividers results in the mathematical expression  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 \pm m/n)$ . The claim does not require that the provision of the first and second dividers switches the operation between the first mode and second mode. The provision of dividers to result in the particular mathematical expression is quite different than to achieve dual band operation. The examiner acknowledges that the provision of the dividers will result in the particular mathematic expression as claimed because the mathematical expression will become  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 \pm 1)$  if both first and second dividers are removed (i.e., replace  $m, n$  with 1). In such aspect, Herzinger in view of Damgaard meets the claimed limitation since Herzinger also

Art Unit: 2638

provides the first and second dividers (FT1 and FT2 in Fig. 2). The applicant is referred to the rejection rationale applied to claim 1 for the explanation how Herzinger in view of Damgaard meets the claimed mathematical expression.

Next, although the applicant's argument that the use of a divide by m frequency divider and a divide by n frequency divider to achieve the dual band operation is not required by the claim, the examiner will respond to such argument. The examiner disagrees that the use of a divide by m frequency divider and a divide by n frequency divider will achieve the dual band operation. For example, when the system is operated in the first mode. If the values of (m, n) are switched from (m<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>1</sub>) to (m<sub>2</sub>, n<sub>2</sub>), the mathematical expression  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m/n)$  is changed from  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m_1/n_1)$  to  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m_2/n_2)$ , which is still in the first mode. On the other hand, while maintaining the value of (m, n) to be (m<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>1</sub>), the change of the filter output from  $RF_{VCO} - RF_{LO}$  to  $RF_{LO} - RF_{VCO}$  will change the mathematical expression from  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 + m_1/n_1)$  to  $F_{LO} = F_{OUT} / (1 - m_1/n_1)$ . That is, the mode can be switched without changing the values of the dividers. Therefore, the argument that the use the first and second dividers will achieve dual mode operation is not persuasive.

The applicant states the same argument applies to independent claims 10 and 19 and all dependent claims. The same response above is therefore also applied to those claims.

For the reasons above, it is believed that the rejections should be maintained.



***Conclusion***

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chieh M. Fan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3042. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM-5:30PM, Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2638

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Chieh M Fan  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2638

July 22, 2005